

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/514,465		02/28/2000	Margaret Motamed	EFIM0069CIP	8791	
31408	7590	05/21/2004		EXAMINER		
JAMES TR			LAMB, TWYLER MARIE			
268 Bush Street #3434 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2622	12	
				DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004	16	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)					
•									
Office Action Se	09/514,465		MOTAMED ET AL.						
Office Action St	illilliai y	Examiner		Art Unit	≨ ` -				
		Twyler M. Lam		2622					
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication ap	pears on the cov	er sneet with the d	orrespondence ac	1dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF THI - Extensions of time may be available ur after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing. - If the period for reply specified above is - If NO period for reply is specified above. - Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 3	S COMMUNICATION. der the provisions of 37 CFR 1. date of this communication. less than thirty (30) days, a rep , the maximum statutory period ed period for reply will, by statut ian three months after the mailir	136(a). In no event, ho by within the statutory r will apply and will expi e, cause the application	wever, may a reply be tin ninimum of thirty (30) day re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered time the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on <u>08 /</u>	March 2004.							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-f	inal.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4a) Of the above claim(5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are a 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are c	 ✓ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objection 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not reques Replacement drawing should be compared to the content of the conte	is/are: a) acc that any objection to the et(s) including the correc	cepted or b) oe drawing(s) be he ction is required if	ld in abeyance. Set the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	` '				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-6 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s Paper No(s)/Mail Date	wing Review (PTO-948)	5) [Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal F Other:		O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/514,465

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant (s)

- 1. This action is responsive to the following communications: Response filed on 3/8/04.
- 2. This application has been reconsidered. Claim 1 is pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (US 6,441,920) in view of Tan et al. (Tan) (US 5,978,560).

With regard to claim 1, Smith discloses a raster image processing (RIP) (col 5, lines 21-49), software application (RIPs 34) adapted for use on a networked computer (front ends 40 connected to computer network 35) (col 5, line 66 – col 6, line 9), coupled to a plurality of networked printers (out put devices 46) (col 6, lines 34-39).

Smith differs from claim 1 in that he does not clearly teach the software application adapted to: receive a print job; parse the print job into one or more print pieces; load balance the print pieces among the printers based on color use and print speed; and provide a list of the printers that received the print pieces.

Tan discloses a multiple print engine system that includes said filter parsing said job into one or more print pieces; and forwarding said print pieces to said one or more

Application/Control Number: 09/514,465

Art Unit: 2622

designated printers; load balance the print pieces among the printers based on color use and print speed; and provide a list of the printers that received the print pieces (col 3, lines 58-64; ref Figure 4, col 6, lines 39-60).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Smith to include the software application adapted to: receive a print job; parse the print job into one or more print pieces; load balance the print pieces among the printers based on color use and print speed; and provide a list of the printers that received the print pieces as taught by Tan. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Smith by the teaching of Tan to balance the load numerous logical printers as taught by Tan in col 11, lines 19-48.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 is have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Twyler Lamb whose telephone number is 703 - 308-8823. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L Coles can be reached on 703-308-4712. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Application/Control Number: 09/514,465

Art Unit: 2622

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

(for informal or draft communications, such as proposed amendments to be discussed at an interview; please label such communications "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

or hand-carried to:

Crystal Park Two

2121 Crystal Drive

Arlington. VA.

Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

Twyler Lamb

May 17, 2004